## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION

JULIUS WHITE,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	No. 1:18-cv-136-PLC
v.	)	
	)	
JASON LEWIS,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon review of the file. On June 4, 2018, petitioner Julius White filed a document that appeared to be a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. However, he did not use the proper form as required by the Local Rules of this Court, and important information was omitted, including which state court judgment petitioner intended to challenge. Petitioner also failed to pay the \$5 filing fee or file a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. In an order dated September 24, 2018, the Court directed petitioner to file an amended petition using the proper form, and to specify the state court judgment he intended to challenge. The Court also directed petitioner to either pay the filing fee, or seek leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court clearly cautioned petitioner that his failure to timely comply with the order could result in the dismissal of this case. Petitioner's response was due on October 24, 2018.

To date, petitioner has neither responded to the Court's order, nor sought additional time to do so. Petitioner was given meaningful notice of what was expected, he was cautioned that his case would be dismissed if he failed to timely comply, and he was given ample time to comply. The Court will therefore dismiss this action, without prejudice, due to petitioner's

failure to comply with the Court's September 24, 2018 order and his failure to prosecute his case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); see also Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (the authority of a court to dismiss sua sponte for lack of prosecution is inherent power governed by the control necessarily vested in courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases); Brown v. Frey, 806 F.2d 801, 803-04 (8th Cir. 1986) (a district court has the power to dismiss an action for the plaintiff's failure to comply with any court order).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice. A separate order of dismissal will be entered herewith.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court will not issue a certificate of appealability because petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a federal constitutional right.

Dated this 7<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.

JOMA. ROSS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE